

I object to allowing Sinclair Broadcasting to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. It is a clear example media bias and of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair is obligated by law to serve the public interest and for that responsibility, get to use the public airwaves at no cost. This anti-Kerry smear does not serve the public--it only serves large company special interests. We get what's good for their bottom line and less of what the public needs toward service our democracy.

What we need is more reports from real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's biased actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. The license renewal process needs to involve more scrutiny to be sure the public interests are served.

Thank you.